Near infrared estimation of crop age

Graeme D. Batten and Anthony B. Blakeney

NSW Agriculture, Yanco Agricultural Institute, PMB Yanco, NSW 2703, Australia.

Introduction

Near infrared (NIR) reflectance spectroscopy is now used to determine the concentrations of nitrogen, starch, sulphur, phosphorus, potassium and moisture in samples of rice crops collected from about 40% of the rice farms in Australia.¹ Nitrogen fertiliser recommendations are calculated using this NIR data and information supplied by farmers.² The most important information provided by farmers to allow this recommendation to be made includes the cultivar and the growth stage at which the rice was collected.

Many farmers, and for that matter rice researchers, have difficulty estimating the growth stage as accurately as required for the NIR Tissue Testing laboratory to make a reliable fertiliser recommendation. In an earlier paper we reported that a filter NIR instrument could be used to determine the physiological age of wheat and rice but with limited accuracy.³ The aim of this study was to examine the ability of a scanning NIR instrument and more sophisticated data manipulation treatments to determine plant age.

Materials and methods

Samples

Rice plants were collected weekly from commercial crops grown in southern NSW in the 1991–92 season. The plants were sorted according to the physiological growth stage scale of Zadoks *et al.*⁴ (Table 1). Roots were removed and the samples dried in a microwave oven before being ground to pass a 0.5 mm screen in a cyclone mill (Cyclotec, Tecator model 1093, Höganäs Sweden). Figure 1 shows the correlation between time of sampling and plant growth stage. Even with the widely used growth stage scale of Zadoks *et al.*⁴ it is difficult to define accurately the physiological age of a crop as not all plants in a crop are at the same growth stage on one day.

NIR calibrations

The reflectance spectrum of each sample was recorded as log(l/R) between 400 and 2500 nm with a scanning NIR spectrometer (NIRSystems Inc., model 6500, Silver Spring, MD). Calibrations were developed using sample sets which were selected with a uniform distribution of days after sowing or physiological growth stage.

Data were processed using NSAS (NIRSystems Inc.), Pirouette (Infometrics Inc., Seattle) or CSAS (Carolina State University, Raleigh) software.

Results and discussion

Calibration equations for days after sowing and physiological growth stage are shown in Figures 2 and 3. For these calibrations the log(1/R) spectra were mean centred, transformed to the second derivative and computed using partial least squares with cross-validation on 10 samples. The optimum number of factors to minimise the standard error of prediction was five for days

after sowing and 7 for growth stage (Figures 4 and 5). The R^2 , SEC and SEP values for these models were 0.98, 5.3 and 6.1 for time (days) after sowing, and 0.98, 3.4 and 4.7 for growth stages, respectively. These are more accurate calibrations than obtained previously using a filter instrument.

One can ask what are we measuring? During this stage of cereal crop growth, gross morphological changes are occurring and these can be related to changes in the relative proportions of

0	Germination	3	Stem elongation
00	Drv seed	30	Ear at 1 cm (pseudostem erect)
01	Start of imbibition (water absorption)	31	First node detectable
02		32	2nd node detectable
03	Imbibition complete	33	3rd node detectable
04		34	4th node detectable
05	Radicle (root) emerged from caryopsis	35	5th node detectable
	(seed)	36	6th node detectable
06		37	
07	Coleoptile (shoot) emerged from	38	Flag leaf just visible
	caryopsis	39	Flag leaf ligule just visible
08			
09	Leaf just at coleoptile tip	4	Booting
1	Seedling growth	40	
1	Securing growth	41	Flag leaf sheath extending
10	First leaf through coleoptile	42	
11	First leaf unfold	43	Boots just visibly swollen
12	2 leaves unfolded	44	_
13	3 leaves unfolded	45	Boots swollen
14	4 leaves unfolded	46	
15	5 leaves unfolded	47	Flag leath sheath opening
16	6 leaves unfolded	48	—
17	7 leaves unfolded	49	First awns visible
18	8 leaves unfolded	-	
19	9 or more leaves unfolded	5	Inflorescence (Ear/Panicle)
2	Tilloring		emergence
4	Thering	50	
20	Main shoot only	51	First spikelets of inflorescence just
21	Main shoot and 1 tiller		visible
22	Main shoot and 2 tillers	52	
23	Main shoot and 3 tillers	53	1/4 of inflorescence emerged
24	Main shoot and 4 tillers	54	
25	Main shoot and 5 tillers	55	1/2 of inflorescence emerged
26	Main shoot and 6 tillers	56	
27	Main shoot and 7 tillers	57	3/4 of inflorescence emerged
28	Main shoot and 8 tillers	58	
29	Main shoot and 9 or more tillers	59	Emergence of inflorescence completed

Table 1. The descriptions of the principal and secondary growth stages of cereals by Zadoks *et al.*⁴

6	Anthesis (flowering)	8	Dough development
60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68		80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88	— — Early dough — Soft dough — Hard dough —
69 7	Anthesis complete Milk development	89 9	
70 71 72	 Caryopsis (kernel) water ripe	90 91	

Table 1. The descriptions of the principal and secondary growth stages of cereals b	by
Zadoks <i>et al.</i> ⁴ (continued).	

cell types that in turn can be related to the biochemical constituents of these cells. Examination of the 2D spectra indicated strong correlations between time after sowing and growth stage at many wavelengths. Generally the correlations were slightly stronger for the variable time than for growth stage. Some of the functional groups suggested from the correlelogram (Figures 6 and 7) as having an influence on the calibrations include protein, cellulose, starch, CH, CH₂, CH₃, C=C and amino acids. This suggests that the actual stage of crop development is the integration of many constituents.

Conclusions

In the most evenly developing crops it in not uncommon to find plants with up to five different growth stages (Figure 1) and it is difficult to determine the mean growth stage. This study indicates that NIR analysis could be used to assign a growth stage to a plant sample submitted to an NIR-based tissue testing service. There would be no extra cost to the service to determine the plant growth stage and this would reduce the chance of making erroneous fertilizer recommendations to rice growers. The calibrations reported here are another example of the capacity of NIR to predict functional, as opposed to constituent, information on plant samples.

Acknowledgements

We were assisted in this study by Sue Ciavarella and Anne Sheldrick. Funding was provided by the Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation.



Figure 1. Relation between time after sowing and the growth stage of individual plants in a rice crop.



Figure 2. Calibration equation for age of rice Figure 3. Calibration equation for physiological age of rice plants (Zadoks et al. scale) plants (days after sowing).



(days after sowing).

Figure 4. Comparison of number of PLS fac- Figure 5. Comparison of number of PLS factors on the SEC and SEP values plant age tors on the SEC and SEP values for physiological plant age (Zadoks et al. scale).



Figure 6. Correlelogram for plant age (days after sowing).



Figure 7. Correlelogram for physiological plant age (Zadoks et al. scale).

References

- G.D. Batten, A.B. Blakeney and S. Ciavarella, "A Tissue Testing Service for Rice Producers", in *Temperate Rice—Achievements and Potential*, Ed by E. Humphreys, E.A. Murray, W.S. Clampett and L.G. Lewin. NSW Agriculture, Griffith, pp. 473–475 (1994).
- A.B. Blakeney, G.D. Batten and S. Ciavarella, "An Interactive Database for Use with the Rice Tissue Test Service" in *Temperate Rice—Achievements and Potential*, Ed by E. Humphreys, E.A. Murray, W.S. Clampett and L.G. Lewin. NSW Agriculture, Griffith, pp. 477–484 (1994).
- A.B. Blakeney and G.D. Batten, "Using NIR to Determine Plant Age", in *Making Light Work:* Advances in Near Infrared Spectroscopy, Ed by I. Murray and I.A. Cowe. VCH, Weinheim, pp. 298–302 (1992).
- 4. J.C. Zadoks, T.T. Chang and C.F. Konzak, Weeds Research 14, 415 (1974).